

# North Yorkshire

## Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]  
Email: [REDACTED]  
Postcode: [REDACTED]  
Organisation Name: (Member of the public)

## Comment text:

I fully support the boundary commission's proposed changes. The Ripon City Council (and I believe NYC Conservative group?) have essentially resubmitted ammendments already rejected by the Boundary Commission. This rejection is fair and common sensical for the following principal reasons:

1 - to add the Clothierholme Barracks area to Fountains Ward makes no sense. The proposed major housing development here will clearly, naturally be a part of Ripon City; residents there will look towards the city for their services and support and so should be represented by a Ripon Councillor, not a rural area ( Fountains) Councillor.

2 - Adding West Tanfield and North Stainley to Fountains Ward ( ie a rural ward) makes sense - and helps to equalise ward sizes.

3 - Linking the Cathedral area /Kirkgate with Spa Gardens and other key areas for city centre development allows us to get on with the city plan - under a single progressive, community oriented Ward/Councillor.

This is my reading - the Boundary Commission seem coherent, independent and cohesive in their plans, as they should be - also giving us another - we hope progressive - Councillor .. Can we please get on with it??

## Attached Documents:

*None attached*