

Cheshire East

Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Postcode: [REDACTED]

Organisation Name: Dumbah Association (Representative of a local organisation)

Comment text:

REF: Cheshire East, Macclesfield/Bollington boundary

Dear Sirs,

Please find the attached letter, both in Word & PDF format, in response to your Draft Recommendations Report for Cheshire East.

We would appreciate an early reply as we need to resolve an anomaly. Also, we require copies of Councillors Ken Edwards & David Edwardes submissions on these matters.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]

Secretary - Dumbah Association

Attached Documents:

- da2lgbc241121.docx
- da2lgbc241121.pdf

DUMBAH ASSOCIATION

Established 1985

Chairman:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Secretary:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

TO: Local Government Boundary Commission
CC: Dumbah Association, [Bollington members only](#)
REF **Cheshire East: Bollington/Macclesfield boundary**

21 Nov 2024

Dear Sirs,

The Chairman of the Dumbah Association (Mike Adley) has asked that I write to the LGBC to clarify OUR reading of your proposal, as published in your *Draft Recommendations Report* and its associated *Draft Recommendations Map*.

Our initial reading of your Report was that our Bollington Members, living along Dumbah, Ball & Tytherington Lanes were to be retained within Bollington and its electoral West Ward. And, in this regard, we were happy to concur with your conclusions and its outcome.

However, one of our residents has recently spotted an anomaly between your *Recommendations Report* versus its associated *Map*.

1) Your *Recommendations Report*'s para-107 states: -

We (LGBC) propose to include the electors east of Tytherington Lane into the proposed [Bollington & Rainow ward](#), to ensure the entirety of Springwood Estate is contained within one ward. This reflects the evidence received from the Council which stated that their recent community governance review consultation revealed the existence of community ties between Springwood Estate residents and the area of Bollington north of The Silk Road.

2) Your *Recommendations Map* shows: -

The Parish area of Bollington has now been extended further south of the Silk Road so as to include the whole of the Springwood Estate as per your *Recommendations*.

3) THE ANOMOLY: -

Within this extended area, LGBC has placed the annotation 'AA' which refers to *Macclesfield Tytherington* and NOT *Bollington West Ward*, which OUR residents currently live in!

I have attached an Appendix, which includes pertinent paragraphs of your *Recommendations Report* – namely paras 102, 105 and 107 together with an exploded view of your *Recommendations Map* which focuses upon the annotated area of 'AA'. Our residents ALL live north of the single Blue Line (Bollington's existing parish border). South of this Blue Line, LGBC has drawn an Orange/Red line which is the: - *Proposed Ward Boundary Coincident With Parish Ward Boundary*. The annotated 'AA' sits betwixt of the Blue and Orange/Red lines.

In short: Are our members to be retained within Bollington? Recall, not one of our members wish to transfer to Macclesfield Tytherington. Further, may we please have copies of Councillors Ken Edwards and David Edwardes submissions as we are unable to find them on your LGBCE website.

Signed [REDACTED] Secretary – Dumbah [REDACTED]

APPENDIX

102 Furthermore, we are unable to adopt the request received from The Dumbah Association and many of its residents to amend the boundary between Prestbury and Bollington parishes. This is because we are unable to amend the external boundaries of any parishes, as this can only be achieved through a Community Governance Review, via the Council. *In addition, we have also decided to not unite the entirety of Dumbah Lane within Prestbury ward by using Tytherington Lane as the proposed boundary. This is because it would involve the creation of a parish ward for Bollington parish composed of fewer than 30 electors, which we consider to not aid effective and convenient local government.* FROM PAGE 33

105 The Liberal Democrats proposed to retain the existing arrangements for a twocouncillor Macclesfield Tytherington ward that follows the Macclesfield and Bollington parish boundary. *The Council, supported by Councillor Edwardes, alternatively proposed to extend the boundary further north to The Silk Road, stating that this would better reflect community identities and promote effective and convenient local government. Both proposals result in a Macclesfield Tytherington ward with good electoral equality by 2030.* FROM PAGE 35

107 After careful consideration of the persuasive community evidence received from Macclesfield Labour Party and the residents of Bollinbrook, we have decided to adopt Macclesfield Labour Party's proposal for Macclesfield Tytherington ward, subject to a minor modification. *We propose to include the electors east of Tytherington Lane into the proposed Bollington & Rainow ward, to ensure the entirety of Springwood Estate is contained within one ward. This reflects the evidence received from the Council which stated that their recent community governance review consultation revealed the existence of community ties between Springwood Estate residents and the area of Bollington north of The Silk Road.* Our proposed modification also improves electoral equality slightly from a 13% to a 12% forecasted variance. While this electoral variance is slightly higher than what we would usually recommend, we consider it is justified, when taken into consideration along with our other two statutory criteria. Broken Cross & Upton and Macclesfield West & Ivy 108 Macclesfield Labour Party proposed to broadly retain a two-councillor Broke. FROM PAGE 35

